# REACTIONS OF CYCLO-OCTATETRAENE AND ITS DERIVATIVES—IX'

## CYCLOPENTADIENONE ADDUCTS OF TRICYCLO[4.2.2.0<sup>2.5</sup>]DECA-3,7-DIENES AND TRICYCLO[4.2.1.0<sup>2.5</sup>]NONA-3,7-DIENES<sup>+</sup>

IQBAL A. AKHTAR, RICHARD J. ATKINS, GORDON I. FRAY\* and GRAHAM R. GEEN School of Chemistry, The University, Bristol BS8 1TS, England

and

TREVOR J. KING

Department of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, England

#### (Received in UK 4 October 1979)

Abstract—Tetracyclo[ $8.2.2.0^{2.9}.0^{3.8}$ ]tetradeca-4,6,11-trienes 5 are produced from tricyclo[ $4.2.2.0^{2.5}$ ]deca-3,7-dienes 1 by reaction with cyclopentadienones 2 (or equiv) followed by thermal decarbonylation. With tetraphenylcyclopentadienone, however, the product 5e rearranges under the conditions required for its formation to give the dihydrosemibullvalene 11. The rearrangement evidently proceeds via the cyclo-octa-1,3,5-triene 14, the ring-opening 5e  $\rightarrow$  14 being facilitated by a phenyl-conjugation effect, uncovered in another series of experiments involving the reaction of cyclopentadienones with the tricyclo[ $4.2.10^{2.5}$ ]nona-3,7-diene 16. Thus the tetraphenyl-cyclohexa-1,3-diene 18c undergoes ring-opening in refluxing xylene to afford the cyclo-octa-1,3,5-triene 21a, whereas the dimethyldiphenyl-analogue 18b resists valence isomerisation under these conditions.

The 3,4-double bond of the tricyclo[4.2.2.0<sup>2.5</sup>]deca-3,7diene system 1 shows high dienophilic reactivity towards electron-deficient dienes.<sup>3-6</sup> Thus the cyclo-addition of the cyclopentadienone ketal 2a and the cyclo-octatetraene-maleic anhydride adduct 1a yielded the product **3a.**<sup>‡</sup> which on hydrolysis of the ketal group, followed by thermal extrusion of carbon monoxide from the carbonyl-bridged intermediate 3b, furnished the trieneanhydride 5a. Our interest in this product originally centered on its possible conversion into the benzenemaleic anhydride photo-adduct 6,8 but we failed to accomplish the required oxidative degradation with the necessary selectivity. In an attempt to obtain the nonchlorinated analogue 5b, compound 1a was treated with  $\alpha$ -pyrone **2b** in refluxing xylene. This procedure, however, resulted in the sparingly soluble dianhydride 7a, presumably produced via an initial lactone-bridged adduct and the decarboxylated product 5b, which rapidly added a second molecule of the dienophile 1a (cf Ref. 9). Correspondingly, the tetrachloro-analogue 7b was formed from 5a by the addition of 1a. The dianhydrides 7a and 7b§ were purified and characterised as the tetramethyl esters, the PMR spectra of which were consistent only with a highly symmetrical carbon skeleton.

<sup>†</sup>Part of this work has been the subject of a preliminary publication.<sup>2</sup>

A feature of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the tetramethyl esters derived from the dianhydrides 7a and 7b was the presence in each of a four-proton singlet (at  $\tau$  7.32 and



 $<sup>\</sup>pm$ The stereochemistry illustrated in structure 3 is that resulting from an *endo* addition on the unhindered side of the 3,4-double bond of 1; this addition-mode is undoubtedly shown by the diene 2a in its reaction with the dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate adduct 4.<sup>4.7</sup>

<sup>§</sup>Stereochemistry assigned on the assumption that cycloaddition occurred on the less hindered side of the 1,3-diene system.

 $\begin{array}{c} x \stackrel{CI}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{CI}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{CI}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{CI}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{CI}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{CO_2 Me}{\longrightarrow} \\ 3 \quad a: X = C(OMe)_2 \\ b: X = CO \end{array}$ 







7.19 respectively). By comparison with the spectrum of the dimethyl ester 1b (which shows a two-proton singlet at  $\tau$  7.16) it may be concluded that these singlets must be due to the protons which are in the  $\alpha$ -position with respect to an ester group. The negligible coupling of these protons with the adjacent bridgehead protons, presumably a consequence of the dihedral angles approximating to 90°, is not observed in the anhydride 1a.

As an extension of this work we examined the reactions of the system 1 with various cyclopentadienones, using conditions (refluxing tetralin; ca 210°) such that thermal decarbonylation of the initial adducts ensued. The decarbonylated product from hemicyclone 2c and the dimethyl ester 1b<sup>†</sup> was found to possess structure 5c, and that from acecyclone 2d and the anhydride 1a the analogous structure 5d. In accord with its formulation as a cyclohexa-1,3-diene, 5c reacted with tetracyanoethylene and with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate to yield the adducts 9a and 9b respectively.§ When an excess of the dimethyl ester 1b reacted with hemicyclone 2c, there resulted a ca 10:1 mixture of 1:2 adducts of m.p. 345°

§See footnote on p. 1.

(dec) and 304-306° (dec), which could be formulated as 7c and 10 respectively.§

In contrast, tetracyclone 2e and the anhydride 1a in refluxing tetralin did not give rise to the expected structure 5c, but yielded an isomeric compound; the same product resulted from thermolysis of the isolated carbonyl-bridge adduct 8b (stereochemistry unproven). In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of this decarbonylated product the vinylic protons showed different chemical shifts, and two other protons gave rise to singlet signals at  $\tau$  6.00 and 7.27.‡ Moreover, the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum indicated that the molecule contained ten saturated carbon atoms, of which two could be distinguished as quaternary carbons by offset proton-decoupling. Evidently, thermal decarbonylation of the initial adduct 8b was succeeded by rearrangement of the carbon skeleton. The structure of this product remained in doubt until the following observation of a further rearrangement provided evidence for its formulation as the dihydrosemibullvalene derivative 11.

The decarbonylated anhydride formed a dimethyl ester in refluxing methanol containing conc sulphuric acid, but prolongation of the reaction time resulted in the gradual appearance of a second product, the IR spectrum of which suggested that it was a half ester-lactone (IR  $\nu_{max}$ 1768 and 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup>); when ethanol was used instead of methanol, lactone-formation was much faster. An X-ray



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>We failed to isolate a thermally decarbonylated product from hemicyclone and the anhydride 1a [the carbonyl-bridged adduct 8a has been prepared in refluxing toluene; *photolytic* decarbonylation was followed by fragmentation<sup>6</sup>].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Products of tetracyclone-cyclobutene reactions showing similar NMR spectral characteristics have been encountered quite independently by the Bristol research groups of Drs. K. Mackenzie (see accompanying paper<sup>10</sup>) and J. W. Barton.

crystallographic study of the ethyl ester-lactone revealed the structure 12b.

Details of the structure determination are given in the Experimental. Table 1 shows the fractional co-ordinates for the two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, and Tables 2 and 3 give the bond lengths and angles.<sup>†</sup> A perspective drawing of molecule 1 is shown in Fig. 1, which also gives the crystallographic numbering.

The geometries of the two independent molecules are very similar, the largest differences being in the torsion angles between the benzene rings at C(1), C(2) and C(3). There is one anomalous bond length, that between the two C atoms of the Et group, which is clearly much too short. We have noticed this trend in other ethyl esters, particularly when the temperature factor of the methyl carbon is very high, as it is in this case.

A mechanism of the rearrangement terminating in



structure 12 is readily visualised from the dihydrosemibullvalene 11. Protonation of the dihydrosemibullvalene double bond (at position 4) could lead to cyclopropane ring-opening, and participation of the remaining double bond with subsequent cross-lactonisation is reminiscent of e.g. the bromo-lactonisation of 1b, recently shown to afford a product possessing structure 13<sup>11</sup> (see also Ref.

Table 1. Fractional co-ordinates (×10<sup>4</sup>), with standard deviations in parentheses

Molecule 1				Molecule 2		
Atom	x/a	у/в	z/c	x/a	у/Ъ	z/c
0(1)	659(1)	8590(2)	5840(2)	4258(1)	3612(2)	9481(2)
0(2)	1099(1)	7506(2)	5529(3)	3840(1)	2686(2)	10083(2)
0(3)	884(1)	8754(3)	3457(3)	4268(1)	4060(2)	12012(2)
0(4)	241(1)	9371(2)	3702(2)	4883(1)	4544(2)	11550(2)
C(1)	1124(1)	12027(2)	7157(2)	3876(1)	6999(2)	7974(2)
C(2)	1588(1)	12251(2)	7595(3)	3420(1)	7318(2)	7692(3)
C(3)	1988(1)	11578(2)	7774(2)	2997(1)	6738(3)	7702(3)
C(4)	1816(1)	10661(3)	7418(3)	3141(1)	5822(3)	8090(3)
C(5)	1304(1)	10416(2)	7492(3)	3583(2)	5424(2)	7841(3)
C(6)	1000(1)	11101(2)	6813(3)	3974(1)	6080(3)	8357(3)
C(7)	1674(1)	10445(3)	6360(3)	3372(1)	5662(3)	9155(3)
C(8)	1148(1)	10849(2)	5934(3)	3920(1)	5967(2)	9350(3)
C(9)	1593(1)	9468(2)	6417(3)	3385(1)	4669(3)	9147(3)
C(10)	1373(1)	8992(3)	5450(3)	3662(1)	4320(3)	10100(3)
C(11)	1032(1)	9648(3)	4782(3)	4071(-1)	4859(3)	10611(3)
C(12)	790(1)	10140(3)	5410(3)	4264(1)	5221(3)	9835(3)
C(13)	1208(1)	9513(3)	6961(3)	3681(2)	4560(3)	8433(3)
C(14)	727(2)	9494(2)	6173(3)	4205(2)	4495(3)	9079(3)
C(15)	1054(2)	8274(3)	5607(3)	3906(2)	3426(3)	9900(3)
C(16)	668(2)	9250(3)	3933(3)	4460(2)	4472(3)	11422(3)
C(17)	702(1)	12632(2)	7020(3)	4306(1)	7508(3)	7 <del>9</del> 09(3)
C(18)	635(2)	13090(3)	7773(4)	4331(2)	7853(3)	7064(3)
C(19)	239(2)	13623(4)	7650(6)	4730(2)	8319(4)	7022(6)
C(20)	-98(2)	13717(4)	6782(6)	5116(2)	8459(4)	7800(5)
C(21)	-47(2)	13257(4)	6029(5)	5108(2)	8108(3)	8656(4)
C(22)	354(2)	12708(3)	6144(3)	4704(2)	7626(3)	8695(3)
C(23)	1739(1)	13145(2)	7951(3)	3292(1)	8220(3)	7334(3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup>Tables of torsion angles and of observed and calculated structure factors have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK.

	Molecule	1		Mol	Molecule 2		
Atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	x/a	у/ъ	z/c	
C(24)	1994(2)	13279(3)	8888(3)	2909(2)	8367(3)	6518(3)	
C(25)	2139(2)	14099(3)	9225(3)	2782(2)	9206(3)	6198(3)	
C(26)	2032(2)	14816(3)	8648(3)	3019(2)	9910(3)	6679(3)	
C(27)	1783(2)	14687(3)	7710(3)	3402(2)	9779(3)	7489(3)	
C(28)	1645(2)	13864(3)	7374(3)	3532(2)	8945(3)	7804(3)	
C(29)	2418(1)	11891(2)	7460(3)	2666(2)	7162(3)	8193(4)	
C(30)	2886(2)	11879(3)	8068(3)	2163(2)	7042(4)	7824(6)	
C(31)	3282(2)	12144(3)	7792(4)	1865(3)	7368(7)	8316(11)	
C(32)	3210(2)	12435(3)	6889(4)	2026(5)	7801(7)	9106(10)	
C(33)	2749(2)	12475(3)	6284(3)	2541(4)	7984(5)	9505(5)	
C(34)	2351(2)	12207(3)	6565(3)	2858(2)	7639(4)	9018(4)	
C(35)	1230(2)	10376(3)	8455(3)	3520(2)	5276(3)	6808(3)	
C(36)	1541(2)	9895(4)	9157(3)	3098(2)	4875(4)	6265(3)	
C(37)	1449(3)	9784(5)	10014(4)	3035(3)	4715(4)	5320(4)	
C(38)	1057(3)	10167(4)	10174(4)	3400(4)	4937(5)	4933(4)	
C(39)	745(2)	10660(4)	9492(4)	3808(3)	5329(5)	5459(4)	
C(40)	833(2)	10764(3)	8629(3)	3875(2)	5502(4)	6409(3)	
C(41)	556(2)	8333(6)	2620(5)	4609(2)	3692(5)	12838(4)	
C(42)	546(7)	8800(12)	1914(12)	4685(5)	4197(12)	13603(9)	

Table 1 (Contd)



11

ĊO₂Me

13

Br



14

The thermal rearrangement of 5e to 11 most probably occurs via retro- $6\pi$  electrocyclisation to the cyclo-octa-1,3,5-triene 14 (see below), which then undergoes what may be considered as a symmetry-allowed  $[\pi 4_a +$ 



12). Furthermore, structure 11 is consistent with the spectral evidence, and in particular explains the presence of two singlet PMR signals, which may be assigned to H-3 (low-field) and H-8 (high-field), the dihedral angles with H-2 and H-9 respectively being close to  $90^{\circ}$  (as judged from a molecular model).

Fig. 1.

Table 2. Bond lengths in Å, with standard deviations in parentheses

	Mo1.1	Mp1.2		Mo1.1	Mo1.2
0(1) - C(14)	1.464(5)	1.470(5)	C(12) - C(14)	1.560(5)	1.556(5)
0(1) - C(15)	1.371(4)	1.372(4)	C(13) - C(14)	1.525(5)	1.530(5)
0(2) - C(15)	1.193(5)	1.196(5)	C(17) - C(18)	1.389(6)	1.389(5)
0(3) - C(16)	1.319(5)	1.332(5)	C(17) - C(22)	1.392(6)	1.382(6)
0(3) - C(41)	1.468(6)	1.440(5)	C(18) - C(19)	1.367(7)	1.371(6)
0(4) - C(16)	1.187(4)	1.194(4)	C(19) - C(20)	1.372(9)	1.360(8)
C(1) - C(2)	1.343(4)	1.343(5)	C(20) - C(21)	1.372(9)	1.392(7)
C(1) - C(6)	1.515(5)	1.515(5)	C(21) - C(22)	1.397(6)	1,394(6)
C(1) - C(17)	1.491(5)	1.492(5)	C(23) - C(24)	1.385(5)	1.394(5)
C(2) - C(3)	1,509(5)	1.511(5)	C(23) - C(28)	1.375(5)	1.384(5)
C(2) - C(23)	1,485(5)	1.487(5)	C(24) - C(25)	1.371(6)	1.381(6)
C(3) - C(4)	1.531(5)	1.528(5)	C(25) - C(26)	1.373(6)	1,360(6)
C(3) - C(29)	1,526(5)	1.514(6)	C(26) - C(27)	1.382(6)	1.383(6)
C(4) - C(5)	1.557(5)	1.554(5)	C(27) - C(28)	1.371(6)	1.374(6)
C(4) - C(7)	1.543(5)	1.546(5)	C(29) - C(30)	1.381(5)	1.398(8)
C(5) - C(6)	1.537(5)	1.531(5)	C(29) - C(34)	1.380(6)	1,396(6)
C(5) - C(13)	1.576(5)	1.571(5)	C(30) - C(31)	1.383(5)	1.379(7)
C(5) - C(35)	1.516(5)	1.513(5)	C(31) - C(32)	1.376(7)	1.310(12)
C(6) - C(8)	1.544(5)	1.546(5)	C(32) - C(33)	1.362(6)	1.450(14)
C(7) - C(8)	1.582(5)	1.584(4)	C(33) - C(34)	1.396(5)	1.427(12)
C(7) - C(9)	1.520(5)	1.528(5)	C(35) - C(36)	1.371(6)	1.383(6)
C(8) - C(12)	1.539(5)	1.543(5)	C(35) - C(40)	1.381(6)	1.375(6)
C(9) - C(10)	1.571(5)	1.558(5)	C(36) - C(37)	1.393(7)	1.403(7)
C(9) - C(13)	1.560(5)	1.562(5)	C(37) - C(38)	1.356(8)	1.376(8)
C(10)- C(11)	1.543(6)	1.533(5)	C(38) - C(39)	1,366(8)	1.342(8)
C(10)- C(15)	1.496(6)	1.494(6)	C(39) - C(40)	1.394(7)	1.398(7)
C(11)- C(12)	1.524(5)	1.532(5)	C(41) - C(42)	1.267(15)	1.341(15)
C(11)- C(16)	1,509(5)	1.500(5)			

Table 3. B	lond angles	in degrees,	with standar	d deviatio	ons in	parentheses
------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	------------	--------	-------------

			·		
	Mol.1	Mo1.2		Mol.1	Mol.2
C(14) - O(1) - C(15)	112.6(3)	112.2(3)	C(6) - C(8) - C(7)	103,4(3)	103.8(3)
C(16) - O(3) - C(41)	115.1(4)	116.1(3)	C(6) - C(8) - C(12)	107.2(3)	107.2(3)
C(2) - C(1) - C(6)	120.1(3)	120.7(4)	C(7) - C(8) - C(12)	109.9(3)	109.5(3)
C(2) - C(1) - C(17)	123.9(4)	122.8(4)	C(7) - C(9) - C(10)	115.6(3)	115.4(3)
C(6) - C(1) - C(17)	115.9(3)	116.5(3)	C(7) - C(9) - C(13)	97.5(3)	97.6(3)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	120.4(4)	119.8(4)	C(10) - C(9) - C(13)	110.2(3)	110.0(3)
C(1) - C(2) - C(23)	123.4(4)	124.3(4)	C(9) - C(10) - C(11)	107.1(3)	106.9(3)
C(3) - C(2) - C(23)	116.2(3)	115.9(3)	C(9) - C(10) - C(15)	107,2(3)	108.4(3)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	114.7(3)	114.6(3)	C(11) - C(10) - C(15)	106.2(3)	106.3(3)
C(2) - C(3) - C(29)	112.1(3)	112.3(4)	C(10) - C(11) - C(12)	104,1(3)	104.4(3)
C(4) - C(3) - C(29)	112,9(3)	110.5(3)	C(10) - C(11) - C(16)	115.1(3)	115.3(3)
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	114.2(3)	114.7(3)	C(12) - C(11) - C(16)	112.7(3)	113.7(3)
C(3) - C(4) - C(7)	120.7(3)	121.0(3)	C(8) - C(12) - C(11)	107.6(3)	107.2(3)
C(5) - C(4) - C(7)	93.2(3)	93.3(3)	C(8) - C(12) - C(14)	106.5(3)	106.0(3)
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	98.7(3)	98.8(3)	C(11) - C(12) - C(14)	108.0(3)	107.7(3)
C(4) - C(5) - C(13)	101.6(3)	102.5(3)	C(5) - C(13) - C(9)	104,9(3)	104.5(3)
C(4) - C(5) - C(35)	118.9(3)	116.5(3)	C(5) - C(13) - C(14)	112.7(3)	112.6(3)
C(6) - C(5) - C(13)	106.9(3)	106,9(3)	C(9) - C(13) - C(14)	102.7(3)	102.4(3)
C(6) - C(5) - C(35)	115.9(3)	117.2(3)	O(1) - C(14) - C(12)	112.7(3)	112.7(3)
C(13) - C(5) - C(35)	112.8(3)	113.1(3)	O(1) - C(14) - C(13)	106.1(3)	106.2(3)
C(1) - C(6) - C(5)	112.8(3)	113.2(3)	C(12) - C(14) - C(13)	105.8(3)	106.4(3)
C(1) - C(6) - C(8)	115.2(3)	113.8(3)	O(1) - C(15) - O(2)	119.7(4)	119.5(4)
C(5) - C(6) - C(8)	98.2(3)	98.0(3)	O(1) - C(15) - C(10)	112.0(4)	112.0(3)
C(4) - C(7) - C(8)	104.7(3)	103.9(3)	O(2) - C(15) - C(10)	128.3(4)	128.4(4)
C(4) - C(7) - C(9)	98.7(3)	98.6(3)	O(3) - C(16) - O(4)	123.4(4)	123.5(4)
C(8) - C(7) - C(9)	105.4(3)	105.5(3)	O(3) - C(16) - C(11)	111.3(4)	111.3(3)

Table 3 (Contd)

	Mol.1	Mol.2		Mol.1	Mol.2
0(4) - C(16) - C(11)	125.3(4)	125.2(4)	C(3) - C(29) - C(30)	121.1(4)	118.8(4)
C(1) - C(17) - C(18)	120.7(4)	121.9(4)	C(3) - C(29) - C(34)	121.2(3)	120,8(6)
C(1) - C(17) - C(22)	120.5(4)	120.3(4)	C(30) - C(29) - C(34)	117.7(4)	120,4(6)
C(18) - C(17) - C(22)	118.7(4)	117.7(4)	C(29) - C(30) - C(31)	122.0(4)	118.6(7)
C(17) - C(18) - C(19)	120.5(5)	120.8(5)	C(30) - C(31) - C(32)	119.6(4)	123.6(8)
C(18) - C(19) - C(20)	120.9(6)	121.5(5)	C(31) - C(32) - C(33)	119.5(4)	120.6(7)
C(19) - C(20) - C(21)	119.9(5)	119.2(4)	C(32) - C(33) - C(34)	120.9(5)	116.8(9)
C(20) - C(21) - C(22)	119.9(6)	119.2(5)	C(29) - C(34) - C(33)	120.4(4)	119.9(8)
C(17) - C(22) - C(21)	120.0(5)	121.5(5)	C(5) - C(35) - C(36)	120.0(4)	118.7(4)
C(2) - C(23) - C(24)	120.5(3)	120.7(3)	C(5) - C(35) - C(40)	121,5(4)	121.7(4)
C(2) - C(23) - C(28)	122.3(3)	122.2(4)	C(36) - C(35) - C(40)	118.4(4)	119.5(5)
C(24) - C(23) - C(28)	117.2(4)	117.1(4)	C(35) - C(36) - C(37)	120.4(5)	119.7(5)
C(23) = C(24) = C(25)	121.1(4)	120.7(4)	C(36) - C(37) - C(38)	120,5(6)	119.4(6)
C(24) - C(25) - C(26)	121.2(4)	121.2(4)	C(37) - C(38) - C(39)	120.3(5)	120.9(6)
C(25) - C(26) - C(27)	118.1(4)	119.2(4)	C(38) - C(39) - C(40)	119.3(5)	120.5(6)
C(26) - C(27) - C(28)	120.5(4)	119.8(4)	C(35) - C(40) - C(39)	121.1(5)	120.0(5)
C(23) - C(28) - C(27)	121.9(4)	122.1(4)	O(3) - C(41) - C(42)	107.9(11)	113.4(8)

 $\pi^2_a$ ]cycloaddition<sup>13</sup> (the possibility of a non-concerted process, involving a diradical intermediate, is discussed in the accompanying paper<sup>10</sup>). The only previous examples of the cyclo-octa-1,3,4-triene  $\rightarrow$  dihydrosemibullvalene rearrangement known to us were photo-induced,<sup>14</sup> although thermal cyclo-octatetraene  $\rightarrow$  semibullvalene conversions have been demonstrated.<sup>15</sup>

Conversion of the tetraphenyl-compound 5e into the dihydrosemibullvalene derivative 11 occurs under conditions which fail to promote rearrangement of the closely related dimethyldiphenyl-compound 5c. A possible reason for this substituent-group effect emerged from the results of further experiments described below.

[4+2]Cycloaddition of 2a and 4 in chloroform or toluene, followed by thermolysis of the resulting *endo* adduct 15, leads to dimethyl phthalate and the cyclobutene 16, <sup>1,3,4,7,16</sup> the stereochemistry of which has been established.<sup>4,7,16</sup> Further cycloaddition to 16 using 2a



gave the diketal 17a, which was more readily obtained directly from 2a and 4 in refluxing xylene. The illustrated *endo-anti-endo* stereochemistry of 17a, although unproven, is strongly favoured on steric grounds. Hydrolysis of the ketal functions afforded the diketone  $17b,^{\dagger}$  which on thermal decarbonylation yielded (as expected<sup>17</sup>) 1,2,3,4-tetrachlorobenzene. Reaction of 15 with hexachlorocyclopentadiene 2f in refluxing xylene gave the adduct 17d, and hydrolysis and subsequent decarbonylation then afforded the cyclohexa-1,3-diene 18a. This compound could be recovered after 10 min at 200° (or 8 days in refluxing xylene), and this thermal stability supports an *anti* geometry with respect to the 4-membered ring; the *syn* isomer would be expected to undergo an intramolecular [4+2]cycloaddition (*cf* Refs 10 and 18).

<sup>†</sup>This could not itself be crystallised; crystallisation from methanol gave the *bis*-hemiketal 17c.



17 a:  $R^{1} = R^{2} = R^{3} = CI, X = Y = C(OMe)_{2}$ b:  $R^{1} = R^{2} = R^{3} = CI, X = Y = CO$ c:  $R^{1} = R^{2} = R^{3} = CI, X = Y = C(OH)(OMe)$ d:  $R^{1} = R^{2} = R^{3} = CI, X = C(OMe)_{2}, Y = CCI_{2}$ e:  $R^{1} = R^{3} = Me, R^{2} = Ph, X = C(OMe)_{2}, Y = CO$ 

Treatment of 16 with hemicyclone 2c in refluxing xylene for  $2\frac{1}{4}$  hr resulted in a mixture of a carbonylbridged adduct, formulated as the endo-anti-exo compound 19a, and the decarbonylated product 18b (molar ratio 5.5:1 (cf the accompanying paper<sup>10</sup>). By analogy with the results obtained by Warrener et  $al.^7$  in their thorough investigation of the reaction of 2c with cis-3,4dichlorocyclobutene, the initial cycloaddition probably gave a mixture of exo and endo adducts with the exo isomer 19a predominating; this stereoisomer should show greater thermal stability than the endo compound 17e, which should undergo more facile decarbonylation<sup>19</sup> with the formation of 18b. Support for an endo-anti-exo structure for 19a was obtained by the formation of an identical product from 2a and 20, the exo stereochemistry of which is proven.<sup>7</sup> While the adduct 19a was apparently stable in refluxing xylene, at 240° it lost carbon monoxide to give 18b.

In contrast with hemicyclone 2c, tetracyclone 2e reacted with 16 in refluxing xylene (5 hr) to yield, in addition



**18 a**:  $\mathbf{R}^{1} = \mathbf{R}^{2} = \mathbf{R}^{3} = \mathbf{Cl}, X = \mathbf{CCl}_{2}$  **b**:  $\mathbf{R}^{1} = \mathbf{R}^{3} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^{2} = \mathbf{Ph}, X = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{OMe})_{2}$  **c**:  $\mathbf{R}^{1} = \mathbf{R}^{2} = \mathbf{R}^{3} = \mathbf{Ph}, X = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{OMe})_{2}$ **d**:  $\mathbf{R}^{1} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^{2} = \mathbf{R}^{3} = \mathbf{Ph}, X = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{OMe})_{2}$ 



to the carbonyl-bridged adduct 19b, the cyclo-octa-1,3,5triene 21a (molar ratio 5:4) (cf accompanying paper<sup>10</sup>). Under these conditions, therefore, the initial decarbonylation product 18c was transformed into its valence isomer (retro- $6\pi$  electrocyclisation). The reaction pathway also differed in another respect, in that much of the final product 21a must have derived from the exo carbonyl-bridged adduct 19b, which in a separate experi-

(refluxing mesitylene). When a similar experiment was performed with 2methyl-3,4,5-triphenylcyclopentadienone 2g, the carbonyl-bridged adduct 19c was accompanied by both the cyclohexa-1,3-diene 18d and the cyclo-octa-1,3,5-triene 21b (18d being characterised as the N-phenyltriazolinedione adduct 22). The molar ratio of carbonyl-

ment was shown to decarbonylate slowly at ca 165°





bridged adduct to decarbonylated products was 3.5:1, and that of the cyclohexa-1,3-diene to the cyclo-octa-1,3,5-triene was 3:2 (estimated from the PMR spectrum of the mixture). When the decarbonylated products 18d and 21b were prepared by heating 19c at 220°/0.1 mm for a short time, their ratio was 1:4.

It seems clear that in this series the cyclo-octa-1,3,5triene ring is stabilised, relative to the bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-diene system, by the presence of phenyl groups in the 2- and 5-positions. The reason for this is apparent from a







study of molecular models, which show that whereas the phenyl groups in the cyclohexa-1,3-diene 18c must be twisted out of the plane of the conjugated diene system (Fig. 2), in the cyclo-octa-1,3,5-triene 21a the 2- and 5-phenyl groups can readily exist in planarity with the 1,2- and 5,6-double bonds respectively and can therefore attain full conjugation (Fig. 3).

We therefore conclude that the rearrangement of the cyclohexa-1,3-diene 5e to the dihydrosemibullvalene 11 takes place via the cyclo-octa-1,3,5-triene 14, and that the valence isomerisation  $5e \rightarrow 14$  is facilitated by the phenyl-conjugation effect.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Unless stated otherwise, light petroleum refers to the fraction of b.p. 60-80°; IR spectra were determined for Nujol mulls; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra were measured in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 100 and 25.15 MHz. respectively, using Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard, The m.ps of several of the products described below were diffuse and variable.

The ketal-anhydride 3a [With Kettlewell<sup>3</sup>]. The adduct  $1a^{20}$  (12 g) and  $2a^{21}$  (20 g) were heated in refluxing xylene (50 ml) for 6 hr. The soln was allowed to cool, and the resulting crystals were collected and recrystallised from CHCl<sub>3</sub> to give 3a (22 g, 79%), m.p. 289-291° (dec). (Found: C, 48.7; H, 3.6; Cl, 30.5. C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> requires: C, 49.0; H, 3.5; Cl, 30.4%; IR  $\nu_{max}$  1848, 1767, 1610 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  [(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO] 3.45–3.6(2H), 6.5–6.6(6H), 6.7–6.85(4H), 7.35–7.45(2H) and 7.7–7.8(2H).

The tetrachloro-triene-anhydride 5a [With Kettlewell<sup>1</sup>]. A mixture of the finely-ground adduct 3a (21 g) and conc H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (50 ml) was heated on the steam-bath, with frequent agitation, for 20 min. The mixture was poured onto ice, and the solid was collected, washed well with water, and dried. The crude 3b (1R  $\nu_{max}$  1850sh, 1830, 1767, 1576 cm<sup>-1</sup>) was then taken up in chloro-benzene (80 ml), and the soln was heated under reflux for 2 hr. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, followed by recrystallisation of the residue from Me<sub>2</sub>CO, afforded 5a (13 g, 74%), m.p. 232-234° (dec). (Found: 49.2; H, 2.6; Cl, 36.1. C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires: C, 49.0; H, 2.6; Cl, 36.2%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1850, 1774, 1616 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (CH<sub>3</sub>CN) 295 nm ( $\epsilon$  4600); PMR  $\tau$  3.55-3.75(2H), 6.5-6.75(2H), 6.85-7.0(2H), 7.25-7.5(4H).

The dianhydride 7a.  $\alpha$ -Pyrone<sup>22</sup> (1.5 g) was heated with adduct 1a (3.0 g), in refluxing xylene (50 ml) for 2 hr. The insoluble product (2.9 g, 86%) had m.p. > 390° and showed IR  $\nu_{max}$  1855, 1830 and 1790 cm<sup>-1</sup>; it did not give a correct elemental analysis, even after recrystallisation (from Ac<sub>2</sub>O).

The tetramethyl ester (prepared with methanolic H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) had m.p. 270-271° (from MeOH). (Found: C, 69.8; H, 6.7; OMe, 22.0. C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>8</sub> requires: C, 70.0; H, 6.6; OMe, 22.6%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1720br cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  3.45-3.8(6H), 6.50(12H, s), 7.1-7.3(4H), 7.32(4H, s), 7.45-7.65(2H), 8.15-8.3(4H), 8.4-8.55(4H).

The tetrachloro-dianhydride 7b. A mixture of 5a (0.39 g) and adduct 1a (0.20 g) was kept at 160–170° (bath) under N<sub>2</sub> for 10 min. The crude product (IR  $\nu_{max}$  1855, 1780br, 1593 cm<sup>-1</sup>) was converted (methanolic H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) into the tetramethyl ester (0.56 g, 83%), m.p. ca 350° (dec) (from MeOH). (Found: C, 55.0; H, 4.6; Cl, 21.0. C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> requires: C, 54.7; H, 4.8; Cl, 21.3%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1738, 1720, 1590 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  3.5–3.7(4H), 6.49(12H, s), 6.95–7.15(4H), 7.19(4H, s), 7.8–7.9(4H) and 7.9–8.05(4H).

The decarbonylated hemicyclone-adduct Sc. The ester  $1b^{20}$  (2.5 g), hemicyclone dimer<sup>23</sup> (2.5 g), and tetralin (30 ml) were heated under reflux for 4 hr. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residual oil was chromatographed on alumina. Elution with Et<sub>2</sub>O gave 5c (0.58 g, 12%), m.p. 172-174° [from MeOH-light petroleum (b.p. 40-60°)]. (Found: C, 79.6; H, 6.5. C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 80.0; H, 6.6%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1756, 1740, 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (EtOH) 235, 289 nm ( $\epsilon$  10,800, 3600); PMR  $\tau$  2.85-3.35(10H), 3.35-3.5(2H), 6.36(6H, s), 6.85-7.15(4H; 2H s at 7.07), 7.35-7.55(4H), 8.59(6H, s).

The decarbonylated acceyclone-adduct 5d. A mixture of  $2d^{24}$ (2.54 g) and 1a (1.5 g) was heated in refluxing tetralin (50 ml) for 2 hr. The soln was cooled and then poured into light petroleum (b.p. 40-60°); the resulting ppt was collected and recrystallised from C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> to give (yellow) 5d (1.34 g, 36%), m.p. ca 315° (dec) (rapid heating). (Found: C, 86.1; H, 4.8. C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires: C, 86.0; H, 4.9%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1845, 1770, 1590 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (EtOH) 241, 261, 368, 381 ( $\epsilon$  18.800, 15.200, 9000, 9000).

The dimethyl ester (prepared with methanolic H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) had m.p. 219–221° (from MeOH) (Found: C, 83.2; H, 5.75. C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 83.3; H, 5.6%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1740, 1590 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.25–2.65(12H), 2.83(2H, dd, J 7.5 and 7.5 Hz), 3.29 (2H, d, J 7.5 Hz), 3.45–3.6(2H), 6.25–6.5(8H; 6H s at 6.45), 6.8–7.0(2H) and 7.1–7.35(4H).

The tetracyanoethylene-adduct 9a. A mixture of the decarbonylated hemicyclone-adduct 5c (100 mg) and tetracyanoethylene (28 mg) in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (25 ml) was heated under reflux overnight. Removal of the solvent, and recrystallisation of the residue from MeOH, gave 9a (106 mg, 84%), m.p. 298-300° (dec). (Found: C. 74.7; H, 5.6; N, 8.95. C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 75.0; H, 5.3; N, 9.2%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1743 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.6-3.2(10H), 3.25-3.4(2H), 6.35(6H, s), 6.8-7.05(4H; 2H s at 7.00), 7.60(4H, s), 8.60(6H, s).

The dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate adduct 9b. Heating the decarbonylated adduct 5c (195 mg) and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (60 mg) in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (40 ml) under reflux overnight, followed by removal of the solvent and recrystallisation of the residue from MeOH, furnished 9b (198 mg, 78%), m.p. 235-236° (Found: C, 72.9; H, 6.3. C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>8</sub> requires: C, 73.3; H, 6.15%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1720, 1603 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 2.75-3.2(10H) 3.5-3.6(2H), 6.26(6H, s), 6.40(6H, s), 6.9-7.1(4H), 7.8-8.0(2H), 8.1-8.2(2H), 8.82(6H, s).

The tetramethyl esters 7c and 10. The ester 1b (2.5 g) and hemicyclone dimer (1.3 g) were heated in refluxing tetralin (30 ml) for 24 hr, and the cooled soln was poured into light petroleum (100 ml). The resulting oily ppt slowly solidified, and recrystallisation from MeOH-light petroleum afforded 7c (0.46 g), m.p. ca 345° (dec). (Found: C, 75.3; H, 6.8. C<sub>46</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>8</sub> requires: C, 75.8; H, 6.6%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1758, 1732, 1710, 1598 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.8-3.10(10H), 3.45-3.55(4H), 6.40(12H, s), 7.0-7.2(8H), 7.7-7.9(4H), 8.4-8.5(4H), 9.16(6H, s).

The mother-liquors from the isolation of 7c were evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed on alumina. Elution with  $Et_2O$  give a further quantity of 7c (40 mg), and elution with  $CH_2Cl_2$  afforded a mixture of crystalline products which was extracted with warm MeOH. The MeOHinsoluble fraction proved to be 7c (20 mg) (total yield 14%), and concentration of the methanolic extract yielded an isomer 10 (50 mg, 1.4%), m.p. 304-306° (dec). (Found: C, 75.4; H, 6.8); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1755sh, 1742, 1720, 1598 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.85-3.25(10H), 3.35-3.5(2H), 3.5-3.65(2H), 6.38(6H, s), 6.40(6H, s), 6.95-7.2(8H), 7.75-7.95(4H), 8.35-8.5(4H), 9.27(6H, s).

The decarbonylated tetracyclone-adduct 11a. (a) Reaction of 2e (18 g) with 1a (9.5 g) in refluxing tetralin (50 ml) for 2 hr, and isolation of the product by pouring the soln into light petroleum, afforded a gum which crystallised from ether-acetone to give 11 (16 g, 61%), m.p. 271-272°. (Found: C, 86.2; H, 5.6 C.  $_{40}H_{10}O_3$  requires: C, 86.0; H, 5.4%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1861, 1780, 1606 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.75-3.4(20H), 3.75-4.0(1H), 4.0-4.25(1H), 6.00(1H, s), 6.25-6.45(1H), 6.8-7.24H, 7.27(1H, s) and 7.7-7.85(1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  36.7, 37.7, 42.8, 43.7, 44.4, 45.6, 55.8, 56.2, 59.1, 63.2 (10 saturated C), 125.4, 125.8, 126.4, 126.9, 127.3, 127.7, 128.7, 129.1, 130.0, 132.0, 132.5, 135.8, 136.6, 137.5, 138.8, 142.3 (16 =C resolved),

171.8, 172.4 (2 C=O) ppm.

(b) Reaction of 2e (3.8 g) and 1a (2.0 g) in refluxing xylene (50 ml) for 15 hr yielded the adduct 8b (4.1 g, 71%), m.p. ca 255° (dec) (from C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>). (Found: C, 83.8; H, 5.2. C<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 83.95; H, 5.15%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1859, 1780sh, 1773 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  [(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO] 2.5–3.45(22H), 6.4–6.6(2H), 6.7–6.85(2H), 7.05–7.2(2H), and 7.85–8.05(2H).

Decarbonylation of **8b** (9.4 g) in refluxing tetralin (30 ml) (2 hr) then gave a product identical with 11 (6.1 g, 68%).

The dimethyl ester of the product 11 (prepared in the usual way with methanolic H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) decomposed at *ca* 145° with incomplete melting [from MeOH-light petroleum (b.p. 40-60°)] (Found: C, 82.9; H, 5.9. C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 83.4; H, 6.0%; IR  $\nu_{max}$  1750, 1735, 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (EtOH) 227, 296 nm ( $\epsilon$  22,500, 8600); PMR  $\tau$  2.75-3.25(20H), 3.55-3.8(1H), 4.05-4.3(1H), 6.11(1H, s), 6.44(3H, s), 6.5-6.65(4H; 3H s at 6.57), 6.85-7.1(3H; 2H s at 7.07), 7.2-7.4(2H; 1H s at 7.29) and 7.65-7.8(1H).

The ester-lactones 12a and 12b. (a) A mixture of the anhydride 11 (5.4 g), MeOH (100 ml), and conc H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 ml) was heated under reflux for 40 hr; a crystalline product separated from the refluxing soln. The crystals were collected, washed with MeOH, and dried, giving 12a (0.60 g, 12%), m.p. 331-333° (from EtOAc). (Found: C, 82.9; H, 5.8. C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 83.4; H, 5.8%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1768, 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.5-3.55 (20H), 4.95-5.15 (1H), 5.80 (1H, d, J 6Hz), 6.27 (3H, s), 6.6-7.2(6H), 7.45-7.8(3H).

Concentration of the original methanolic soln yielded the ester 11 (0.42 g, 72%), identical with the previous sample.

(b) Similar treatment of 11 with EtOH containing conc H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for 5 hr afforded 12b (72%), m.p. 332-333° (from EtOAc). (Found: C, 83.3; H, 6.2.  $C_{42}H_{36}O_4$  requires: C, 83.4; H, 6.0%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1770, 1725 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.4-3.5 (20H), 4.95-5.1(1H), 5.65-5.95(3H), 6.6-7.2(6H), 7.45-7.8(3H), 8.75(3H, t, J 7Hz).

X-ray crystallographic analysis of the ethyl ester-lactone 12b.  $C_{42}H_{36}O_4$ , M 604.7. Monoclinic; a = 28.787(4), b = 15.323(3), c = 14.925(3) Å,  $\beta = 107.5(1)^\circ$ ,  $D_c = 1.28 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ ,  $U = 6279 \text{ Å}^3$ ,  $D_m = 1.275 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ , Z = 8, F(000) = 2560. Space group P2<sub>1</sub>/c from systematic absences. Mo-K<sub>a</sub> radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71069$  (graphite monochromator),  $\mu = 0.088 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

Measurements were made on a crystal of approximate dimensions  $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.3$  mm. Oscillation and Weissenberg photographs established the space group and the approximate cell dimensions. The parameters were refined by least squares on the settling angles of 23 reflections measured on a Hilger-Watt 4-circle diffractometer. Intensity measurements were made by  $\omega$ -20 scans for the range  $\theta$  1-23° (beyond this range intensities were very weak). 8895 unique reflections were counted and of these 5673 had a net count  $>3\sigma(I)$  and were used in the refinement. Lorentz and polarisation but no absorption corrections were made.

The first attempt to solve the structure using MULTAN was unsuccessful, but when the phenyl atoms were entered as a partial structure during the normalisation step MULTAN revealed 83 of the expected 92 atoms. The 9 missing atoms were easily found by Fourier methods. Refinement proceeded smoothly. When the stage of anisotropic refinement of the non-H atoms was reached the number of parameters prevented fullmatrix methods being used. Each molecule was then refined in separate cycles in three blocks, two blocks each including two phenyl groups and the third block the rest of the molecule. At an appropriate stage in the refinement a difference map revealed 60 of the hydrogens and it was deemed justified to include (but not refine) all the hydrogens in calculated positions. During the final stages of refinement the weighting scheme employed was  $W = 1.0/(A[0] \times T[0](X) + \cdots A[3] \times T[3](X))$ , in which A[I] are the coefficients of a Chebyshev series in T[I](X) where  $X = F_{obs}/F_{obst(max)}$ ; the values of the coefficients were 84.7, 89.7, -11.0 and -19.8.

At convergence the maximum shift/standard deviation was 0.02 for positional parameters and 0.05 for thermal parameters. The conventional R was 6.83%. The Oxford CRYSTALS program package was used for all computations following the structure determination with MULTAN.

The octachloro-diketal 17a [With Kettlewell<sup>3</sup>]. A mixture of the adduct 4<sup>20</sup> (17 g) and 2a (35 g) in xylene (50 ml) was heated under reflux for 24 hr. Concentration of the resulting soln yielded 17a (35 g, 91%), m.p. 271-273° (from CHCl<sub>3</sub>). (Found: C, 37.3; H, 3.0; Cl, 48.8. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 37.3; H, 2.8; Cl, 48.9%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1608 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  6.55(6H, s), 6.56(6H, s), 7.35(4H, s).

An identical product was obtained from the cyclobutene 16<sup>1</sup> and 2a in refluxing xylene.

The octachloro-diketone 17b. Treatment of 17a (10g) with hot conc H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (50 ml) (see preparation of 5a) for 5 hr gave the crude 17b (7.8g, 93%) (IR  $\nu_{max}$  1818 cm<sup>-1</sup>).

Recrystallisation of a sample from MeOH resulted in the bis-hemiketal 17c, m.p. ca 170° (dec). (Found: C, 34.6; H, 1.9; Cl, 51.1. C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 34.7; H, 2.2; Cl, 51.4%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  3470, 1607 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Thermolysis of the octachloro-diketone 17b. The crude 17b (2.5 g) was heated in refluxing xylene (100 ml) for 1 hr. Removal of the solvent and recrystallisation of the residue from light petroleum afforded 1,2,3,4-tetrachlorobenzene (2.0 g, 90%), identical with an authentic specimen.

The decachloro-ketal 17d. A mixture of 15<sup>1</sup> (20 g) and 2t (11 g) was heated in refluxing xylene (75 ml) for 24 hr. Removal of the solvent, and crystallisation of the residue from MeOH, gave 17d (15 g, 65%), m.p. 213.5-214.5° after recrystallisation from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. (Found: C, 32.6; H, 1.6; Cl, 59.9. C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires: C, 32.6; H, 1.7; Cl, 59.7%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1601 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  6.54 (6H, apparent s), 7.1-7.2(2H), 7.2-7.4(2H).

The decachloro-triene 18. Hydrolysis of 17d (5.8 g) with hot conc H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (50 ml) (see preparation of 5a) for 3 hr, afforded the crude ketone (4.9 g) (IR  $\nu_{max}$  1820 cm <sup>-</sup>), which was decarbonylated by heating in refluxing chlorobenzene for 30 min to yield 18a (3.7 g, 73%), m.p. 182-183° (from MeOH). (Found: C, 30.5; H, 0.7; CI, 68.5. C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub> requires: C, 30.3; H, 0.8; CI, 68.9%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1610, 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (EtOH) 285, 294, 306, 320 nm ( $\epsilon$  3390, 4780, 4550, 2500); PMR  $\tau$  6.45–6.6(2H), 6.8–7.0(2H).

Reaction of the cyclobutene 16 with hemicyclone 2c. A mixture of  $16^1$  (1.0 g) and hemicyclone dimer (0.80 g) was heated in refluxing xylene (25 ml) until the red colour of the cyclopentadienone was discharged (24 hr). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was treated with C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-light petroleum (1:1; 30 ml). The resulting crystals were separated, washed with light petroleum, and finally recrystallised from C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-light petroleum to yield the carbonyl-bridged adduct 19a (1.37 g, 77%), m.p. 240–241° (dec). (Found: C, 62.7; H, 4.7; Cl, 24.7. C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>26</sub>CL<sub>0</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires: C, 62.5; H, 4.55; Cl, 24.6%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1780, 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.75–3.15 (10H), 6.44(3H, s), 6.46(3H, s), 7.3–7.4(2H), 7.5–7.6(2H), 8.78(6H, s).

The mother liquors were evaporated, and the oily residue was chromatographed on silica. Elution with hexane–C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (2:1) gave the decarbonylated **18b** (0.24 g, 14%), m.p. 232–233° from hexane. (Found: C, 63.5; H, 5.0%; *M* (mass spectrum), 546 (<sup>35</sup>Cl; the isotopic abundance ratio indicated the presence of 4 Cl). C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>26</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires: C, 63.5; H, 4.8%; *M*, 546 (<sup>35</sup>Cl); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1605 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.85–3.4(10H), 6.38(3H, s), 6.41(3H, s), 6.75–6.9(2H), 7.2–7.3(2H), 8.57(6H, s).

The exo-cyclobutene 20. The hemicyclone adduct of  $4^{7}$  (2.50 g) was heated in refluxing xylene (20 ml) for 20 hr. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed on silica. Elution with hexane-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (1:1) gave 20 (1.07 g, 69%), m.p. 135-136° (lit. 136°<sup>7</sup>).

Conversion of 20 into 19a. Compound 20 (0.50 g) and 2a (0.42 g) in toluene (15 ml) were heated under reflux for 24 hr. Removal

of the solvent under reduced pressure, and recrystallisation of the residue from  $C_6H_6$ -hexane, then afforded 19a (0.51g, 56%), identical with the previous specimen.

Thermolysis of the carbonyl-bridged adduct 19a. The adduct 19a (0.5 g) was heated at ca 240° under  $N_2$  until effervescence ceased (15 min). Recrystallisation of the residue from hexane then yielded 18b (0.31 g, 65%), identical with the previous sample.

Reaction of the cyclobutene 16 with tetracyclone 2e. An experiment similar to that described above was performed using 16 (1.00 g) and 2e (0.90 g) in refluxing xylene (25 ml) (5 hr). Work-up as before, with minor modifications, yielded two products. (a) The adduct 19b (0.833 g, 51%), m.p. 250-251° (dec). (Found: C, 68.5; H, 4.5; Cl, 20.4. C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>30</sub>CL<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires: C, 68.6; H, 4.3; Cl, 20.2%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1790, 1605 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.75-3.35 (20H), 6.45 (3H, s), 6.62(3H, s), 6.9-7.05(2H), 7.1-7.2(2H). (b) Compound 21a (0.635 g, 40%), m.p. 226-227° from hexane [Found: C, 69.4; H, 4.6%; *M* (mass spectrum), 670 (<sup>35</sup>Cl; the isotopic abundance ratio indicated the presence of 4 Cl). C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>30</sub>CL<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires: C, 69.7; H, 4.5%; *M*, 670 (<sup>35</sup>Cl)]; IR  $\nu_{max}$  1605 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.5-2.95 (20H), 3.9-4.0(2H), 5.9-6.0(2H), 6.35(3H, s), 6.37(3H, s).

Thermolysis of the carbonyl-bridged adduct 19b. The adduct 19b (0.50 g) was heated in refluxing mesitylene (10 ml) for 3 hr. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was triturated with hexane. The insoluble fraction (0.125 g) was identical with the starting material.

Concentration of the hexane soln gave 21a (0.17 g), identical with the previous sample.

Reaction of the cyclobutene 16 with 2-methyl-3,4,5-triphenylcyclopentadienone 2g. The cyclobutene 16 (1.00 g) was heated with  $2g^{23}$  (1.00 g) in refluxing xylene (20 ml) (2 hr). Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, followed by treatment of the residue with C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-light petroleum (1:4), afforded the insoluble adduct 19c (1.18 g, 60%), m.p. 207-208° (dec) (from CCL-hexane) (Found: C. 65.5; H. 4.5; Cl, 22.4. C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>28</sub>CL<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires: C, 65.85; H, 4.4; Cl, 22.2%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1780, 1750, 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.6-3.4 (15H), 6.42(3H, s), 6.51(3H, s), 7.0-7.35(3H), 7.6-7.8(1H), 8.69(3H, s).

The mother liquors were evaporated, and the resulting oil was chromatographed on silica. Elution with hexane- $C_6H_6$  (3:2), and crystallisation of the eluted material from hexane, furnished a mixture of **18d** and **21b** (total 0.325 g, 17%); ratio 3:2 as estimated from the PMR spectrum), m.p. 205-215°;  $\nu_{max}$  1605 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

A sample of the mixture (90 mg) was heated with N-phenyltriazolinedione (30 mg) in refluxing CHCl<sub>3</sub> (10 ml) for  $1\frac{1}{2}$  hr, and the resulting soln was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residual gum was then subjected to preparative tlc on silica, using C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> as the mobile phase. Extraction of the separated bands with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> gave the following products. (a) Compound 21b (25 mg), m.p. 200-201° from hexane (Found: C, 67.0; H, 4.7; Cl, 23.3%. C34H28Cl4O2 requires: C, 66.9; H, 4.6; Cl, 23.2%); IR  $\nu_{max}$  1605 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.4-3.2(15H), 4.1-4.2(1H), 4.6-4.75(1H) 6.0-6.3(2H), 6.36(3H, s), 6.39(3H, s), 8.21br (3H, s). (b) Compound 22 (35 mg), m.p. 257-259° from C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-hexane [Found: C, 63.8; H, 4.6; N, 5.0%; M (mass spectrum). 783 ("Cl; the isotopic abundance ratio indicated the presence of 4 Cl). C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>33</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires: C, 64.2; H, 4.2; N, 5.35%; M, 783 ( $^{35}$ Cl)];  $\nu_{max}$  1775, 1725, 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>; PMR  $\tau$  2.4–3.4(20H), 6.37(3H, s), 6.41(3H, s), 6.7-7.1(3H), 7.35-7.45(1H), 8.23(3H, s).

Thermolysis of the carbonyl-bridged adduct 19c. Compound 19c (0.50 g) was heated at 220°/0.1 mm for 5 min (effervescence), and the residue was then cooled rapidly. The PMR spectrum of a sample in CDCl<sub>3</sub> indicated that the product consisted of a 1:4 mixture of 18d and 21b, together with some starting material; there was no change in the spectrum after the soln had been left for 72 hr at room temp.

Acknowledgements—We are indebted to Dr. K. Mackenzie and A. S. Miller for fruitful discussions. Thanks are also due to the SRC for the award of Studentships (to R.J.A. and G.R.G.).

### REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup>Part VIII, R. J. Atkins and G. I. Fray, *Tetrahedron* 35, 1177 (1979).

- <sup>2</sup>G. I. Fray, W. P. Lay, K. Mackenzie and A. S. Miller, *Tetrahedron Letters* 2711 (1979).
- <sup>3</sup>B. R. Kettlewell, B.Sc. Thesis, University of Bristol (1967).
- <sup>4</sup>I. A. Akhtar, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bristol (1969).
- <sup>5</sup>E. E. Nunn, W. S. Wilson and R. N. Warrener, *Tetrahedron Letters* 175 (1972).
- <sup>6</sup>W. G. Dauben, G. T. Rivers, R. J. Twieg and W. T. Zimmerman, J. Org. Chem. 41, 887 (1976).
- <sup>7</sup>R. N. Warrener, C. M. Anderson, I. W. McCay and M. N. Paddon-Row, *Aust. J. Chem.* **30**, 1481 (1977).
- <sup>8</sup>D. Bryce-Smith, B. Vickery and G. I. Fray, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 390 (1967).
- <sup>9</sup>J. A. Elix, W. S. Wilson and R. N. Warrener, *Tetrahedron Letters* 1837 (1970).
- <sup>10</sup>W. P. Lay, K. Mackenzie, A. S. Miller and D. L. Williams-Smith, *Tetrahedron*.
- <sup>11</sup>A. Kondo, T. Yamane, T. Ashida, T. Sasaki and K. Kanematsu, J. Org. Chem. 43, 1180 (1978).
- <sup>12</sup>N. S. Zefirov, V. N. Kirin, I. V. Bodrikov, A. S. Kozmin, K. A. Potekhin and E. M. Kurkutova, *Tetrahedron Letters* 2617 (1978).
- <sup>13</sup>R. B. Woodward and R. Hoffmann, *The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry* p. 81. Verlag Chemie/Academic Press, New York (1970).
- <sup>14</sup>O. L. Chapman, G. W. Borden, R. W. King and B. Winkler, J.

- Am. Chem. Soc. 86, 2660 (1964); J. Zirner and S. Winstein, Proc. Chem. Soc. 235 (1964); W. R. Roth and B. Peltzer, Liebig's Ann. 685, 56 (1965).
- <sup>15</sup>G. F. Emerson, L. Watts and R. Pettit, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 131 (1965); R. Criegee and R. Askani, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 7, 537 (1968); H. E. Zimmerman and H. Iwamura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 2015 (1970).
- <sup>16</sup>W. G. Dauben and L. N. Reitman, J. Org. Chem. 40, 835 (1975).
- <sup>17</sup>E. H. Gold and D. Ginsburg, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 15 (1967); G. Schröder, W. Martin and H. Röttele, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 8, 69 (1969).
- <sup>18</sup>I. A. Akhtar and G. I. Fray, J. Chem. Soc. (C) 2802 (1971).
- <sup>19</sup>G. Kretschmer, I. W. McCay, M. N. Paddon-Row and R. N. Warrener, *Tetrahedron Letters* 1339 (1975).
- <sup>20</sup>W. Reppe, O. Schlichting, K. Klager and T. Toepel, Liebig's Ann. 560, 1 (1948).
- <sup>21</sup>J. S. Newcomer and E. T. McBee, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71, 946 (1949); E. T. McBee, D. L. Crain, R. D. Crain, L. R. Belohlay and H. P. Braendlin, *Ibid.* 84, 3557 (1962).
- <sup>22</sup>H. E. Zimmerman, G. L. Grunewald and R. M. Paufler, Org. Synth. 46, 101 (1966).
- <sup>23</sup>C. F. H. Allen and J. Van Allan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72, 5165 (1950).
- <sup>24</sup>W. Dilthey, I. ter Horst and W. Schommer, J. prakt. Chem. 143, 189 (1935).